1 2 3	J. Andrew Coombs (SBN 123881)  andy@coombspc.com  Annie S. Wang (SBN 243027)  annie@coombspc.com  J. Andrew Coombs, A Prof. Corp.  520 E. Wilson Ave., Suite 200	
5	Glendale, California 91206 Telephone: (818) 500-3200 Facsimile: (818) 500-3201	
6 7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Warner Bros. Home Entertainment Inc. and Disney Enterprises, Inc.  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11 12 13 14 15 16	Warner Bros. Home Entertainment Inc. and Disney Enterprises, Inc.,  Plaintiffs,  v.  Franklyn Perez, and Does 1 – 10, inclusive,  Defendants.	Case No. DECLARATION RE JOINDER
18		<del>-</del>

## **DECLARATION OF J. ANDREW COOMBS**

I, J. Andrew Coombs, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice before the Courts of the State of California and the United States District Court for the Central District of California. I am attorney for Plaintiffs Warner Bros. Home Entertainment Inc. and Disney Enterprises, Inc. (collectively "Plaintiffs") in an action styled Warner Bros. Home Entertainment Inc., et al. v. F. Perez, et al. I submit this Declaration supporting joinder of copyright claims in one action, as provided by Local Rule 19-2 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, formerly Local Rule 3.7.2.2. Except as otherwise expressly stated to the contrary, I have

personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called as a witness I could and would competently testify thereto.

- The interests of justice will be advanced through joinder of Plaintiffs' 2. claims for copyright infringement and related contributory liability claims and pendent state law claims for the following reasons:
  - First, I am informed and believe that the Defendant's mode of a. conducting business requires the Plaintiffs to act in concert. It is far more likely that the Plaintiffs will succeed in coordinating these enforcement efforts where relief is sought in one action, in one proceeding, before one Court. Even were separate actions "related", which is not certain, it would still remain possible that proceedings would not necessarily proceed on the same schedule.
  - b. Second, the interests of justice are served because the Plaintiffs seek to vindicate similar rights. While different intellectual properties are involved, my experience with similar cases suggests that the most vigorously contested issues will involve Defendant's conduct, intent and ability to compensate Plaintiffs for their acts. These issues will be the same with respect to each Plaintiff. Accordingly, not only are the interests of justice advanced through joinder, the risk of prejudice is reduced and judicial economies are advanced.

///

///

///

26

27 28

c. Accordingly, joinder of the Plaintiffs' claims herein will avoid a multiplicity of actions involving substantially the same issues, the same preliminary procedures and the same final relief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2016 at Glendale, California.

J. Andrew Coombs